- 1 MS. POLIVY: At the hearing I have no objection.
- 2 I don't understand what discovery sequestration is supposed
- 3 to entail. Any principals can always be present at
- 4 depositions.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, you're entitled to have a
- 6 principal present but it doesn't mean other witnesses -- and
- 7 the way to avoid that is to have that principal deposed
- 8 initially so that that problem is avoided.
- 9 MR. BLOCK: Your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
- 11 MR. BLOCK: The sequestration issue is also --
- 12 revolves in part around the question of disqualification and
- 13 witness proposed -- potential role of Ms. Polivy as a
- 14 witness.
- 15 But, you know, once again, that's just -- make
- sure that's on the agenda.
- 17 MR. COLE: Yeah, I say it may be premature, but at
- 18 least I wanted to make sure we're moving in that direction
- 19 and we all understand that's --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
- MS. POLIVY: Well, Your Honor --
- MR. DZIEDZIC: Have you ruled, Your Honor?
- 23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Have I ruled on what?
- MS. POLIVY: There's nothing to rule on at this
- 25 point.

1	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I will require
2	sequestration of witnesses to the extent of which is
3	permissible. Obviously the recognition that if a party is
4	entitled to be present at the taking of depositions, but
5	procedures can be then implemented which would have that
6	particular individual deposed first so that sequestration
7	will be maintained.
8	And thereafter that party will be able to be
9	present at any further depositions.
10	MR. SILBERMAN: May I ask Your Honor does Mr.
11	Dziedzic intend to object to this as far as his named
12	witnesses are concerned, his Bureau people?
13	MR. DZIEDZIC: Well, I'm just trying to find out
14	exactly who's being sequestered here. It does seem
15	premature to sequester the witnesses before we know who all
16	they are.
17	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, regardless of who they are,
18	it seems to me a reasonable process in order to make sure
19	that there's no question that they may be influenced by
20	someone else's testimony that they each testify that no
21	one be present when they're deposed.
22	MR. DZIEDZIC: From your statement, I'm to assume
23	that Mr. Stewart, Ms. Kreisman and Mr. Pendarvis are
24	included in that grouping in your ruling today that they are
25	subject to an order of sequestration?

- JUDGE CHACHKIN: If they're deposed, I would treat
- them as any other deponents.
- 3 MR. DZIEDZIC: I quess my concern would be the
- 4 extent -- are you ruling today that from this moment forward
- 5 every person who is a potential witness in this case --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: I am not ruling. I'm saying
- 7 insofar as formal depositions are concerned and the hearing
- 8 itself, I will require sequestration of the witnesses. I'm
- 9 not saying --
- MR. DZIEDZIC: Fine.
- 11 MS. POLIVY: At what time, Your Honor?
- 12 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Pardon me?
- MS. POLIVY: For the depositions you said?
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: When the depositions are taken.
- 15 If and when depositions are taken --
- MR. DZIEDZIC: The date of the deposition?
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: The date of the deposition.
- 18 MR. DZIEDZIC: Thank you, Your Honor.
- MR. COLE: And finally, Your Honor, this is -- I'd
- like to try to short circuit one element of discovery, if I
- could, by asking Ms. Polivy if she could provide me with the
- 22 names and addresses of all the principals of her client.
- I've been unable to locate them in the Commission's files or
- 24 elsewhere, and I think it would assist immeasurably in
- 25 expediting my preparation of discovery.

- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Ms. Polivy.
- MS. POLIVY: Your Honor, ownership report is on
- 3 file with the Commission. I have supplied Mr. Cole with a
- 4 copy of it in the past.
- 5 MR. COLE: She did not include a listing of the
- 6 limited partners, Your Honor.
- 7 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Does that include a listing of
- 8 the limited partners, Ms. Polivy?
- 9 MS. POLIVY: No, Your Honor. They're not
- 10 principals.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, in any event, you can
- supply him with the names and we can argue later on whether
- or not they should be deposed --
- 14 MS. POLIVY: Your Honor, I don't -- I am not
- 15 willing to simply say that he's entitled to the names and
- 16 addresses of the limited partners.
- 17 JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'm directing you, Ms. Polivy, to
- 18 supply their names. We could argue later on whether they
- 19 should be called -- whether you object to their depositions,
- 20 but it's certainly not too much to ask you for the names of
- 21 them.
- MS. POLIVY: But what relevance do they have, Your
- 23 Honor? They are not --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: We'll find out what relevance
- 25 they have. But we're not at that stage yet.

1	MS. POLIVY: Then I think, Your Honor, it should
2	await
3	JUDGE CHACHKIN: No. I am directing you to supply
4	the names of all principals. I don't think we have to have
5	much argument on that. We could argue about relevancy at
6	some later time, but certainly he can't go forward unless he
7	has their names.
8	MR. COLE: The principals includes limited
9	partners, Your Honor, just for the sake of clarification.
10	Is that correct?
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
12	MR. COLE: Thank you.
13	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I hope we don't have bickering
14	along the lines like that, supplying names of individuals.
15	MR. SILBERMAN: And that includes addresses?
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
17	MR. SILBERMAN: Good. Thank you, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Now, as far as a notice to take
19	depositions of individuals who are not connected with the ex
20	parte issue, where do we stand there as far as discovery
21	with respect to non-ex parte issue. We don't have to be
22	concerned with Freedom of Information requests. Why can't
23	we proceed with those areas? Why are we waiting until
24	February 26th to what have you? Is there some reason why

you can't proceed to take notice -- take depositions?

25

- 1 Certainly insofar as documents at this time. What is the
- 2 reason for any hang up there?
- Mr. Cole, are you ready to proceed with notices?
- 4 MR. COLE: One reason, Your Honor, is the point I
- 5 just raised. I don't have all the information about who the
- 6 principals are.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Well, assuming --
- 8 MR. COLE: Yes, I would be prepared to proceed
- 9 with discovery within, say, two weeks.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
- MR. COLE: Two weeks would put us --
- 12 JUDGE CHACHKIN: February 12th I think.
- 13 MR. COLE: Could I have until the 15th? Would
- 14 that be possible?
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. February 15th will be
- then notices to take depositions of any non-ex parte issue
- 17 witnesses.
- MR. COLE: And, Your Honor, I assume there will be
- some overlap of ex parte and non-ex parte witnesses.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
- MR. COLE: Can we defer all witnesses who might be
- 22 taken --
- MS. POLIVY: Your Honor --
- MR. COLE: -- on the ex parte issue?
- MS. POLIVY: I'm sorry.

- 1 MR. COLE: May we defer ex parte witnesses -- all
- 2 testimony of any ex parte witness whether it's with respect
- 3 to the ex parte issue and the non-ex parte issue until
- 4 after -- as part of the ex parte process so that we don't
- 5 have to call people twice?
- 6 MS. POLIVY: Well, Your Honor, I would prefer -- I
- 7 mean if it's our witnesses that he's calling, if he's going
- 8 to do one issue, that he do it and not try to have an
- 9 overlap --
- 10 Did I understand you correctly? You said that
- documents for discovery on issues 2 through 5, requests have
- to be made by February 12th?
- 13 JUDGE CHACHKIN: No, no. As far as I'm concerned,
- insofar as we're not dealing with the staff and Freedom of
- 15 Information Act requests, there's no reason for the rest of
- 16 discovery, whether it's ex parte or non-ex parte, not to go
- 17 forward.
- 18 MR. COLE: That's correct, Your Honor.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: And so I don't see any reason
- 20 that notice to take depositions should not include all non-
- 21 FCC employee witnesses that we could deal with.
- MR. COLE: Your Honor, I agree with you, although
- 23 the one caveat I would put in would be the understanding
- 24 that the parties all along have been that we would try to
- 25 get documents first. And then --

- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, I have no objection to even
- 2 proceeding that way, but let's at least proceed with the
- 3 non-FCC employee situation.
- 4 MR. COLE: In that case, could I propose that any
- 5 motions to produce documents with respect to the non-ex
- 6 parte issues --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, even the ex parte issues.
- 8 MR. COLE: Insofar as --
- 9 JUDGE CHACHKIN: It doesn't involve --
- 10 MR. COLE: Insofar as the non-FCC issues -- that
- 11 non-FCC personnel issues are concerned --
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: That's correct.
- MR. COLE: -- be submitted by February 15 and then
- depending on when those documents are actually produced, we
- 15 proceed with depositions with notices to depose thereafter.
- 16 JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. By February 26th I'll
- have some idea as to what's taken place as far as documents
- and any objections, so at that date we can come up with a
- 19 date for notice to take depositions. Agree on a date.
- 20 But at least motions to produce have to be filed
- 21 by February 15th.
- MR. COLE: Yes.
- 23 JUDGE CHACHKIN: And admissions have to be filed
- 24 by February 12th.
- MS. POLIVY: I thought you said the 26th, Your

- 1 Honor.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Oh, I'm sorry. February 26th,
- 3 admissions. Oh, I mean the Freedom of Information --
- 4 MR. COLE: Right.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: -- has to be filed by February
- 6 12th.
- 7 MS. POLIVY: Well, Your Honor, could we make that
- 8 the 15th also?
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. We'll make that the
- 10 15th.
- MR. COLE: And can we have a date by which Ms.
- 12 Polivy will provide me with the names and address of her
- 13 principals?
- MS. POLIVY: Your Honor, I don't have them. I'll
- 15 have to request them from my client. I'll get them as
- 16 expeditiously as possible.
- MR. COLE: Can we have a deadline for that?
- MS. POLIVY: Well, Your Honor, I will give them to
- 19 you as soon as I get them.
- 20 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Ms. Polivy has said she will move
- 21 expeditiously on it. I think that's sufficient.
- 22 All right. Freedom of Information requests is
- 23 February 15th. Motion to produce is February 15th. The
- 24 filing of any admissions is February 26th. And at February
- 25 26th we will also have a further conference to see where we

```
are at that time and establish dates.
 1
                 Anything further?
 2
                 MR. COLE: No, Your Honor.
 3
                 JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Thank you.
 4
                 MR. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
                 MR. SILBERMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
                 (Whereupon, at 11:45 a.m., the hearing was
 8
      concluded.)
 9
      //
10
      11
11
      11
      //
12
      //
13
      //
14
15
      //
16
      //
17
      //
18
      //
19
      //
      //
20
21
      //
22
      11
23
      //
24
      //
      //
25
```

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: GC Bi, 95-172

CASE TITLE: Rainbow Broadcasting Co

HEARING DATE: January 30, 1996

LOCATION: Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Peter Knight Shonerd

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date: 2/5/96

Official Transcriber
Heritage Reporting Corporation

Virginia R. Frank

PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date:

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Don R. Jennings